

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. D. DOX 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.untoto.gov

		•		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/501,045	02/09/2000	Glenn T. Colon-Bonet	10971158-1	3338
22879	7590 07/31/2003			
HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION			EXAMINER	
			DO, CHAT C	
FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2124	10
			DATE MAILED: 07/31/2003	, 0

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		PILE				
A service of the serv	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/501,045	COLON-BONET, GLENN T.				
,, , ,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Chat C. Do	2124				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sh et wit	h the correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 18 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this (1) a timely filed amendment	s application. A proper reply to a ent which places the application in				
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorten (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set for than SIX MONTHS from the mailing as FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS date on which the petition under 37 ension and the corresponding amounted statutory period for reply original	ng date of the final rejection. GOF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee under the fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See below.	•					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See below.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	vs:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-13,15-19 and 22</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐	disapproved by the Examiner.				
. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:		CHUONG DINIL MCC PRIMARY EXAMINEH				

Párt 2(a): In claims 1 and 7, the following limitations are new issues that would require further consideration and/or search: First, first operand and second operand comprising respective first and second propagate, kill, and genrate recoded number representations of respective first and second binary operands. Second, a modified carry-save adder configured to receive the third propagate, kill, and generate recoded number representation, add the separate propagate, kill, and generate bits of the third propagate, kill, and generate recoded number representation. Third, mathematically combining the third propagate, kill, and generate representation and the carry-in value to generate a sum value and a carry value.

Part 5(c): the arguments are not persuasive based on the amended claims filed March 25 2003. The present arguments are based on the recent amended claims filed July 18 2003 which raise some new issues that would require fruther consideration and/or search.